

Commonwealth of Virginia

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

www.deq.virginia.gov

Stefanie K. Taillon Secretary of Natural and Historic Resources Michael S. Rolband, PE, PWD, PWS Emeritus Director

15 July 2025

Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC Attn: Jeffrey Klinefelter 9401 Courthouse Road, Suite B Chesterfield, Virginia 23832

SENT VIA EMAIL: jeffrey.klinefelter@eqt.com

Re: Joint Permit Application No. 25-0752

MVP Southgate Amendment Project

Pittsylvania County, Virginia Comment Letter 2 Addendum

Dear Mr. Klinefelter:

The Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) is reviewing the Joint Permit Application (JPA), received on 18 April 2025, for the MVP Southgate Amendment Project (MVP-Southgate). Concurrently, DEQ is also reviewing a JPA for the adjacent Southeast Supply Enhancement Project (SSEP). While DEQ appreciates the evaluation and proposal of trenchless crossings, we identified different proposed crossing methods at eight (8) locations that cause us concern. The locations in question are:

- 1) S-A002/A003: Unnamed tributary (UNT) of Little Cherrystone Creek
- 2) S-A008: Cherrystone Creek
- 3) S-A051: UNT of Silver Creek
- 4) S-B043: Trayner Branch
- 5) S-B030/31: UNTs Trotters Creek
- 6) S-B024/B025: UNT Dan River
- 7) S-B022: UNT Dan River
- 8) S-B020: UNT Dan River

In the SSEP alternatives analysis, the applicant provided data that includes soils, geological, geotechnical, and groundwater considerations, which led to the conclusion that conventional trenchless crossings at these locations are impractical. The alternatives analysis in your JPA presents conflicting conclusions about the feasibility of the proposed method and lacks the geotechnical information referenced above that would help DEQ evaluate these crossings.

Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC JPA No. 25-0752 15 July 2025 Page 2 of 2

We note that the previously constructed MVP Mainline Project had instances where a conventional bore was proposed but was later found to be impractical, resulting in a field change during construction to a trenched crossing. Examples of these cases include crossings S-D11 and S-A20.

Based on data presented by SSEP at the same eight (8) crossings listed above, please submit geotechnical studies and other data demonstrating that the adjacent pipeline information is either incorrect or change your crossing method <u>after</u> conducting appropriate geotechnical evaluation(s). DEQ will continue reviewing your JPA, and, until you present additional data leading us to a contrary conclusion, we will assume that wetland and/or stream impacts will occur for these eight (8) crossings.

We look forward to future coordination for this project. Please contact me at (804) 659-1986 or michael.mussomeli@deq.virginia.gov if you have any questions.

Respectfully,

Michael J. Mussomeli, PWS Environmental Specialist II

cc (via email): Christian King, Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.

Lori Ferry, Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.

Steven VanderPloeg, USACE

Steve Hardwick, DEQ Office of Wetlands & Stream Protection Melanie Davenport, DEQ Division of Cross-Media Programs

Dave Davis, DEQ Office of Permitting Assistance

Brenda Winn, DEQ Office of Wetlands & Stream Protection

jpa.permits@mrc.virginia.gov, VMRC