CORPS/VDEQ/VDOT 22-SPGP-LT STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOP)

I. <u>REPORTING ONLY</u> <u>FEDERAL HIGHWAY (FHWA) PROJECTS ONLY:</u>

- A. The discharge must not cause the loss of greater than 1/2 acre of WOTUS (e.g., wetlands, open water, and stream channel). Stream channel loss must be reported in acreage and linear feet.
- B. FHWA must be the lead federal agency for the project.
- C. VDOT must submit the projects via a monthly spreadsheet to VDEQ.
- D. The spreadsheet must acknowledge the following reviews have been completed:
 - 1. Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act
 - 2. Section 7 Endangered Species Act
 - 3. Tribal coordination
 - 4. 408 permission Civil Works projects.
- E. No 22-SPGP-LT verification letter will be required.
- F. All compensatory mitigation must comply with the Mitigation Rule [Corps-EPA Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources, dated April 10, 2008, 33 CFR 325 and 332/40 CFR 230].
- G. Impacts and mitigation must be included in the October 1st SPGP report.

II. IACM PROJECTS:

- A. The Following Projects must go through the IACM Process:
 - 1. All State Funded projects
 - 2. FHWA projects requiring 408 coordination
- B. The following information should be provided to the Corps two weeks prior to the Interagency Coordination Meeting (IACM):
 - 1. IACM joint permit application (JPA)
 - 2. A delineation (VDOT may use project plans), Corps confirmed delineation or Corps confirmed jurisdictional determination

***NOTE: when the use of a permittee responsible mitigation site (PRM) is proposed for compensation, a valid PSF, PJD or AJD must also be provided for the PRM site.

- C. The Corps will complete a preliminary screening form (PSF) prior to the IACM meeting.
- D. The Corps will provide the VDOT and the VDEQ with a copy of the PSF.
- E. VDOT is responsible for completing all Section 408 review and coordination responsibilities for USACE Districts other than the Norfolk

District.

- F. A 22-SGP-LT must be issued by the VDEQ.
- G. Impacts and mitigation must be included in the October 1st SPGP report.

III. FEDERAL REVIEW:

- A. Federal review is <u>complete</u>, no Corps coordination is required and the VDEQ will issue a 22-SPGP-LT verification when **ALL** the following requirements are met:
 - 1. The PSF states NO federal coordination is required for all federal responsibilities.
 - 2. The PSF states the project plans are acceptable for permitting.
 - 3. The project plans presented in the IACM meeting match the project plans referenced in the PSF.
 - 4. VDOT has completed 408 Civil Works review and coordination (when required) for neighboring USACE Districts.

***NOTE: If requirement 4 is the only requirement not met, then VDEQ can issue a 22-SPGP-LT verification once VDOT has emailed VDEQ and the Corps stating that all 408 coordination with neighboring USACE Districts has been completed

- B. Federal review is <u>incomplete</u> and Corps coordination is required through the IACM process when **ANY** of the following occur:
 - 1. A PSF has not been provided or cannot be completed at IACM.
 - 2. When the PSF indicates federal coordination is required for 408 Norfolk District
 - 3. When the PSF states formal Section 7 consultation is required.
 - 4. When the PSF states tribal coordination is required.
 - 5. If the project plans change after a PSF has been completed.
 - 6. VDOT is required to complete 408 Civil Works coordination for neighboring USACE Districts.

***NOTE: When changes have been made to the project plans prior to the IACM, a new PSF review may be completed during the IACM at the Corps discretion.

IV. <u>CORPS COORDINATION</u>:

A. The Corps, using the JPA submitted for the IACM, will complete any

required Tribal coordination and/or 408-Norfolk District Civil Works coordination.

- 1. When federal coordination is complete the Corps will email VDOT and VDEQ the following:
 - a. Project number
 - b. A statement that the Tribal and/or 408 coordination has been completed.
 - c. A statement that the Corps has no additional concerns regarding the issuance of the 22-SPGP-LT verification.
- 2. 408 Civil Works: When required, the VDOT will email the Corps and VDEQ to let them know 408 coordination with neighboring civil works Districts has been completed.
- B. The 22-SPGP-LT verification will not be issued until the VDEQ receives a statement from the Corps and VDOT (when applicable) that all required coordination has been completed.

V. MITIGATION COORDINATION:

Corps coordination and Corps concurrence is required when:

- A. VDOT proposes mitigation that does <u>NOT</u> follow the hierarchy as listed in the Mitigation Rule [Corps-EPA Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources dated April 10, 2008, 33 CFR 325 and 332/40 CFR 230].
 - 1. The Corps will work directly with the VDEQ to resolve any outstanding concerns.
 - 2. Once the mitigation is acceptable the Corps will email VDOT and VDEQ that the Corps approves the ecological preferred mitigation.
 - 3. The 22-SPGP-LT verification will not be issued until the Corps has approved the use of the mitigation.
 - 4. If VDOT changes their mitigation to follow the hierarchy the VDEQ will notify the Corps and move forward with the 22-SPGP-LT review and verification as outlined in this VDOT SOP.
- B. VDOT proposes permittee responsible mitigation (PRM).
 - 1. The Corps will work directly with the VDEQ to resolve any outstanding concerns.
 - 2. The appropriate agency (Corps, VDOT, FHWA) will complete federal review and coordination for the PRM site.
 - Once the mitigation is acceptable the Corps will email VDOT and VDEQ that the Corps approves the final mitigation plan dated XXXXXXXX.
 - 4. The 22-SPGP-LT verification will not be issued until the Corps has

- approved the use of the PRM mitigation.
- If VDOT changes their mitigation to credit purchase, the VDEQ will notify the Corps and move forward with the 22-SPGP-LT review and verification as outlined in this VDOT SOP.

VI. PERMIT DECISIONS

- A. **Verification Issuance**: The VDEQ will determine whether the work proposed satisfies the terms and conditions of 22-SPGP-LT permit. All 22-SPGP-LT verifications will be written on the "22-SPGP Verification I etter"
- B. **Denial**: The VDEQ should advise VDOT if the project does not qualify for a 22-SPGP-LT verification. In these situations, the VDEQ should send correspondence to the VDOT notifying them to:
 - Revise their permit application to meet the terms and conditions of the 22-SPGP-LT permit.
 OR
 - 2. Submit their application directly to the Corps for processing under a different Corps permit.
- C. **SPGP Report:** All impacts and mitigation must be included in the October 1st SPGP report.

VII. <u>New Permit Verifications Required</u>

SPGPs are general permits therefore SPGP verifications cannot be modified or extended. All changes to a project must receive a new 22-SPGP-LT verification. The following should apply to all verification requests for 22-SPGP-LT projects where a 22-SPGP-LT verification has previously been issued by the VDEQ. (these applications may be submitted directly to the VDEQ except when a VMRC permit was required).

- A. The following projects must be processed in accordance with Section II-VI of this VDOTSOP. New verifications may be issued using the same permit number but must outline the changes made that require a new 22-SPGP verification:
 - 1. All projects that have associated special conditions, an MOA regarding Section 106 of the NHPA and/or require formal consultation under Section 7 of the ESA, regardless of the newly proposed impact totals.
 - 2. All projects proposing additional temporary, conversion and/or permanent impacts.
 - 3. All projects proposing impacts in new locations even when there is a decrease in impact totals.
- B. The following do not require coordination with the Corps but do require the

issuance of a new 22-SPGP Verification Letter. New verifications may be issued using the same permit number but must outline the changes made that require a new 22-SPGP verification:

- 1. Change in ownership/project name
- 2. Modification to the development plan but no additional impacts
- 3. Change in use of bank/in-lieu fee **AND** when the project is in compliance with the mitigation hierarchy as listed the Mitigation Rule [Corps-EPA Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources, dated April 10, 2008, 33 CFR 325 and 332/40 CFR 230]
- 4. Clerical revisions
- C. If the new application cumulatively exceeds the thresholds of the 22-SPGP-LT, the VDEQ will send correspondence to the applicant notifying them to:
 - 1. Revise their permit application to meet the terms and conditions of the applicable 22-SPGP verification.

OR

2. Submit their application directly to the Corps for processing under a different Corps permit.

VIII. Compliance and Resolution of Non-compliance for projects authorized by a 22-SPGP-LT

The VDEQ will maintain the primary responsibility for performing compliance inspections and resolution of non-compliance for projects verified under a 22-SPGP-LT permit.

However, this does not prevent the Corps from inspecting these project sites independently or from exerting enforcement authority.

- A. All projects that have associated special conditions, an MOA regarding Section 106 of the NHPA and/or require formal consultation under Section 7 of the ESA must be coordinated with the Corps regardless of their impact totals.
- B. All linear transportation non-compliance activities that cumulatively exceed permanent impacts to 1/2 acre of WOTUS will be forward to the Corps for processing under a different Corps permit.
- C. Non-compliance discovered by Corps will be forwarded to the VDEQ for resolution. The Corps will include:
 - 1. Project name and number
 - 2. Dated discovered
 - 3. Brief description of the potential non-compliance.
- D. All non-compliance for activities identified in Section V.III of this VDOT SOP, will require a new 22-SPGP-LT verification. VDOT must be submitted as a new permit application and receive a new 22-SPGP-LT verification (these

applications may be submitted directly to the VDEQ except when a VMRC permit was required).

LINK FOR 408 REVIEWS: 408 Reviews > Norfolk District > 408 Review (army.mil)